Phase II study of concurrent chemoradiotherapy with carboplatin and vinorelbine for locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer
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Abstract. Patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) have locally advanced disease with poor prognosis. Although concurrent chemoradiotherapy is the standard treatment, more effective regimens are required. The aim of this study was to assess the safety and efficacy of concurrent chemoradiotherapy with a divided schedule of carboplatin and vinorelbine in patients with locally advanced NSCLC. Patients with unresectable, stage IIIA or IIIB NSCLC were eligible for enrollment if they exhibited a performance status of 0-2 and were ≤75 years of age. Patients were treated with carboplatin at an area under the plasma concentration vs. time curve of 2.5 mg/ml/min and vinorelbine at 20 mg/m² on days 1 and 8 every 3 weeks. Thoracic radiotherapy at a total dose of 60 Gy was concurrently administered (2 Gy per fraction). Twenty-eight patients (23 men and 5 women; median age, 67 years; range 47-75 years) were enrolled in the present study. The overall response rate was 85.7% [95% confidence interval (CI), 67.3-96.0%] and the disease control rate was 96.4% (95% CI, 81.7-99.9%). The median survival time (MST) was 23 months and the median progression-free survival (PFS) time was 8 months. Grade 3-4 toxicities included neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, anemia and infection in 100, 14, 46 and 36% of patients, respectively. One patient (4%) developed grade 3 radiation esophagitis that resolved completely without residual dilation. Grade 3 radiation pneumonitis occurred in 2 patients (7%); however, the symptoms and radiographic abnormalities subsided with corticosteroid therapy. In conclusion, concurrent chemoradiotherapy with a divided schedule of carboplatin and vinorelbine is well-tolerated and effective in patients with locally advanced NSCLC.

Introduction

Approximately one-third of patients with non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) have locally advanced disease at the time of diagnosis. The prognosis of locally advanced NSCLC is poor and the 5-year survival rates of patients with clinical stage IIIA and IIIB disease are 18 and 8%, respectively (1). A meta-analysis of randomized trials demonstrated that platinum-based chemoradiotherapy confers a survival advantage over radiotherapy alone in patients with locally advanced NSCLC (2). Another meta-analysis of randomized trials demonstrated that concurrent chemoradiotherapy improves survival compared to sequential chemoradiotherapy in patients with locally advanced NSCLC (3). Therefore, concurrent chemoradiotherapy has been a standard treatment for patients with locally advanced NSCLC. However, a standard chemoradiotherapy regimen, including radiation dose and schedule as well as selection and dosage of chemotherapeutic agents, has not been determined thus far. Therefore, more investigations are required to develop more effective and less toxic chemoradiotherapeutic regimens for locally advanced NSCLC.

The combination chemotherapy of cisplatin and vinorelbine with concurrent thoracic radiotherapy has been demonstrated to be an effective and tolerable regimen (4). However, the major limitation of this regimen is cisplatin toxicity and the inconvenience of extensive hydration requirements. Carboplatin causes less renal, neurological and gastrointestinal toxicity and is more convenient to administer compared to cisplatin (5). In patients with locally advanced NSCLC, overall survival rates do not differ significantly between carboplatin- and cisplatin-based chemoradiotherapy (6), although previous meta-analyses demonstrated that combination chemotherapy with cisplatin plus a new agent provides a survival advantage
over carboplatin plus a new agent in patients with metastatic advanced NSCLC (7,8). Therefore, carboplatin-based chemoradiotherapy may be a viable option in patients with locally advanced NSCLC.

Preclinical studies demonstrated that both carboplatin and vinorelbine act as radiation enhancers. Carboplatin enhances the cytotoxic effects of radiation against tumor cells in vitro as well as in vivo (9) and vinorelbine enhances the antitumor effects of radiation in vitro in a cell cycle-dependent manner, with optimal effects when the cells are in the G2/M phase (10). Moreover, previous studies reported that the combination chemotherapy of carboplatin and vinorelbine without thoracic radiotherapy achieves promising outcomes in patients with advanced NSCLC (11,12). However, to date, few trials have evaluated the effectiveness and safety of the combination chemotherapy of carboplatin and vinorelbine with concurrent thoracic radiotherapy in patients with locally advanced NSCLC (13,14).

In a previous phase I study, Hoffman et al. (13) recommended that carboplatin, with a target area under the plasma concentration versus time curve of (AUC) of 3 mg/ml/min using the Calvert's formula and vinorelbine, at a dose of 15 mg/m², be administered on days 1 and 8 every 3 weeks with concurrent thoracic radiotherapy in patients with locally advanced NSCLC. However, this recommended dose of vinorelbine was significantly lower compared to doses in trials without concurrent thoracic radiotherapy in patients with metastatic advanced NSCLC. Furthermore, findings of the phase I/II study by Masters et al. (15) suggested that a combination of carboplatin at a target AUC of 2.5 mg/ml/min and vinorelbine at a dose of 25 mg/m² be administered on days 1 and 8 every 3 weeks without concurrent thoracic radiotherapy in patients with metastatic advanced NSCLC. The vinorelbine dose was reduced from 25 to 20 mg/m² due to concurrent thoracic radiotherapy being added to the chemotherapy. The aim of this phase II study was to assess the antitumor activity and safety of a divided schedule of carboplatin and vinorelbine combined with concurrent thoracic radiotherapy in patients with locally advanced NSCLC.

Patients and methods

Eligibility criteria. Patients with histologically or cytologically proven unresectable stage IIIA or IIIB NSCLC who had not previously received chemotherapy or radiotherapy were eligible for this study. Other eligibility criteria included: i) age 20-75 years; ii) Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0-2; iii) a tumor within an estimated irradiation field no larger than half the hemithorax; iv) a measurable lesion; v) life expectancy of ≥3 months; and vi) adequate bone marrow function (white blood cell count of ≥4000/µl, neutrophil count of ≥2000/µl, platelet count of ≥100,000/µl and hemoglobin level of ≥9.0 g/dl), adequate renal (serum creatinine levels ≤1.5 mg/dl and creatinine clearance rate of ≥50 ml/min) and hepatic function (total serum bilirubin level within the upper limit of the normal range, levels of aspartate and alanine aminotransferase ≤twice the upper limits of the normal ranges) and arterial oxygen pressure of ≥60 mmHg. Patients were excluded in case of malignant pleural or pericardial effusion, active infections, severe heart disease, interstitial pneumonia, or an active second malignancy. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Showa University School of Medicine and the patients provided written informed consent.

Treatment schedule. The treatment regimen consisted of carboplatin and vinorelbine with concurrent thoracic radiotherapy. Both carboplatin and vinorelbine were administered on days 1 and 8. These agents were administered every 3 weeks for a maximum of 4 courses. Vinorelbine at a dose of 20 mg/m² was diluted in 20 ml of normal saline and administered as an intravenous infusion over 6 min. Carboplatin with a target AUC of 2.5 mg/ml/min was diluted in 500 ml of normal saline and administered over 60 min. The carboplatin dose was calculated using the Calvert's formula.

Chemotherapy was discontinued in case of ≥grade 3 non-hematological toxicity, except for nausea/vomiting, anorexia, constipation, diarrhea, esophagitis, alopecia and fatigue; serum creatinine levels ≥2.0 mg/dl; a treatment outcome of progressive disease at any time; or an interval of ≥2 weeks after the scheduled initiation of the next course, until the criteria mentioned below were satisfied. Carboplatin and vinorelbine were not administered on day 8 of treatment if the neutrophil count was <1,000/µl or if the platelet count was <75,000/µl. Full doses of carboplatin and vinorelbine were then administered on day 15 of the treatment. The next course of treatment was initiated after the neutrophil count had increased to 1,500/µl; the platelet count had increased to 100,000/µl; the creatinine level had decreased to ≤1.5 mg/dl; and the non-hematological toxicity, except for anorexia, constipation, alopecia and fatigue, had decreased to ≤grade 2. The doses of carboplatin and vinorelbine were reduced by a target AUC of 0.5 mg/ml/min and 5 mg/m², respectively, if the patient had grade 4 thrombocytopenia. The dose of vinorelbine was reduced by 5 mg/m² if the patient had grade 4 neutropenia lasting ≥3 days or grade 3 or 4 neutropenia associated with a temperature of ≥38°C. Prophylactic antiemetic treatment with 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor type 3 antagonists and dexamethasone was routinely administered prior to carboplatin in the patients. If the neutropenia had decreased to grade 4 during chemotherapy, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) was administered until the neutrophil counts recovered.

Thoracic radiotherapy. Thoracic radiotherapy consisted of standard chest irradiation in single daily fractions of 2 Gy for 6 weeks, up to a total dose of ~60 Gy. The planned initial radiation field was not to exceed 50% of one lung. The initial dose (up to 40 Gy) was administered to the original volume that had been determined with the size and locations of the primary tumor and the draining lymphatic vessels and included a 2 cm margin around the pretreatment primary tumor and the ipsilateral hilar. The entire width of the mediastinum was included, with a 2 cm margin around the radiographically visible area of involvement. The inferior margin extended 3 cm below the carina or 2 cm below the radiographically visible tumor mass. Subsequently, an additional 20 Gy dose was administered to the boost volume, including the entire primary tumor and clinically involved regional hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes, as determined by computed tomography (CT). The original volume was treated with an anterior-posterior parallel-opposed
pair of portals and the boost volume was treated with the same pair or with a pair of oblique fields, if the cumulative radiation dose to the spinal cord exceeded 40 Gy. The percentage of lung volume receiving >20 Gy (i.e., V20) of radiotherapy was not mandatory in the planning of thoracic radiotherapy.

Thoracic radiotherapy was discontinued for grade 3-4 radiation pneumonitis. Thoracic radiotherapy was suspended for grade 3-4 esophagitis, temperature of >38˚C or active infection, during administration of G-CSF, or for a platelet concentration of <20,000/µl and was resumed when these toxicities had decreased to ≤grade 2.

Evaluation. Pretreatment evaluation included a baseline history and physical examination, complete blood count with differential, routine chemistry profiles, chest radiography, CT of the chest and abdomen, magnetic resonance or CT imaging of the brain and radionucleotide bone scan. Mediastinoscopy and positron-emission tomography (PET) scans were not considered mandatory for this trial.

Tumor response was classified according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors version 1.0. Acute toxicities were assessed and graded according to the National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria version 3.0 and late toxicity associated with thoracic radiotherapy, occurring >90 days after the initiation of radiotherapy, was graded according to Radiation Therapy Oncology Group late-toxicity criteria. The patients who received at least 1 cycle of chemotherapy were assessable for response, toxicity and survival.

Statistical analysis. Progression-free survival (PFS) was measured from the initiation of this treatment to the identifiable time of the first progression or death from any cause. Survival time was measured from the initiation of the present treatment until death or last follow-up. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to calculate survival curves. Survival differences between subgroups were compared by means of the log-rank test. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

The present trial was designed as a phase II study, with response rate as the main endpoint. According to Simon’s minimax design, our study, with a sample size of 28, had 90% power to accept the hypothesis that the true response rate was >75% and had a 5% significance to reject the hypothesis that the true response rate was <50%.

Results

Patient characteristics. Between March, 2006 and February, 2010, 28 patients were enrolled (Table I). Thirteen patients had stage IIIA and 15 had stage IIIB disease. Mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) gene were evaluated in 12 patients. Of these, 3 patients with adenocarcinoma exhibited activating mutations in the EGFR gene. Response, survival and toxicity were assessable in the patients. A total of 102 courses of chemotherapy were administered. The median number of courses given per patient was 4 (range, 1-4).

Treatment response and survival. Out of the 28 patients, 3 (10.7%) achieved a complete response, 21 (75.0%) achieved a partial response, 3 (10.7%) had stable disease and 1 (3.6%) had progressive disease, reaching an overall response rate of 85.7% [95% confidence interval (CI), 67.3-96.0%] and a disease control rate of 96.4% (95% CI, 81.7-99.9%).

Survival was analyzed when the median follow-up time of the patients was 22 months. At the time of the analysis, 6 patients (21%) were alive and no patients had been lost to follow-up. One patient succumbed to bacterial sepsis during second-line chemotherapy for disease recurrence. The median survival time (MST) was 23 months (range, 4-66 months) and the 2-year survival rate was 43% (Fig. 1). The median PFS time was 8 months (range, 2-36 months; Fig. 2).

Recurrence pattern and subsequent therapy. At the time of the analysis, 24 (85.7%) out of the 28 patients exhibited disease recurrence. Of these, 8 (33.3%) had local recurrence, 11 (45.8%) had distant recurrence and 5 (20.8%) had local as well as distant recurrence. The most common site of distant metastasis was the brain, followed by intrathoracic sites.

Of these 24 patients, 18 (75%) received second-line chemotherapy; 1 patient (4%) had a complete response to chemoradiotherapy followed by recurrence at the primary site and surgery; and the remaining 5 patients (21%) received only
best supportive care. Salvage chemotherapy was performed as follows: 7 patients received cytotoxic chemotherapy alone, 9 received both cytotoxic chemotherapy, and an EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor and 2 received an EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor alone.

Toxicity. The most commonly occurring toxicity was myelosuppression (Table II). Grade 3-4 hematological toxicities were frequently encountered: neutropenia, thrombocytopenia and anemia occurred in 100, 14 and 46% of the patients. Treatment with G-CSF was required during 48% of the courses (49 out of the 102 courses; median duration of administration, 3 days; range, 1-7 days). Three patients received erythrocyte transfusions. No patients received platelet transfusion.

The majority of the non-hematological toxicities were mild to moderate and transient. A total of 18 patients (64%) presented with grade 1 or 2 radiation esophagitis and 1 patient (4%) developed grade 3 radiation esophagitis, which resolved completely without residual dilation. No patients developed grade 4 radiation esophagitis. Grade 2 and 3 radiation pneumonitis requiring treatment with corticosteroids developed in 18 and 7% of the patients (5 and 2 out of the 28 patients), respectively. Corticosteroid therapy led to resolution of symptoms and radiographic abnormalities of the patients. Grade 3 and 4 infection occurred in 32 and 4% of the patients (9 and 1 out of the 28 patients), respectively. Eight patients had neutropenic fever which resolved quickly with antibiotic therapy and 1 patient had herpes zoster infection and recovered with antiviral therapy. One patient had bacterial pneumonia and required endotracheal intubation and ventilatory support. Although extubation was possible after the patient had received antibiotic treatment, steroids and oxygen, his condition gradually deteriorated and he expired 4 months after completion of chemoradiotherapy, without any recorded disease recurrence.

Dose intensity. The doses of carboplatin were not reduced. The doses of vinorelbine were reduced due to toxicity in 13 patients (46%) (neutropenic fever in 9 and grade 4 neutropenia lasting ≥3 days in 4 patients). During the 102 courses of chemotherapy, 6 (6%) doses of vinorelbine were cancelled on day 8, usually due to neutropenia. Out of the 102 courses of chemotherapy, 25 (25%) were delayed, usually due to prolonged neutropenia. The actual delivered mean individual doses of vinorelbine and carboplatin were 16.8 mg/m² (84% of planned dose) and 2.35 mg/hr/min (94% of planned dose), respectively.

The patients were able to complete the radiotherapy according to the dose and schedule modification of the protocol. Radiotherapy was suspended (median, 4 days; range, 2-16 days) in 14 (50%) patients due to grade 4 neutropenia (11 patients) and neutropenic fever or infection (3 patients).

Discussion

Platinum-based third-generation chemotherapeutic agents, such as vinorelbine, gemcitabine and paclitaxel should not
Cisplatin-versus carboplatin: Meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials comparing cisplatin and carboplatin with pegfilgrastim support for the treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (10). In our study, although concurrent chemoradiotherapy has been a standard treatment in patients with locally advanced NSCLC.

In previous phase III trials of concurrent chemoradiotherapy with new agents in patients with locally advanced NSCLC, the response rate range was 56-84%, the median PFS range was 8-13.4 months and the MST range was 16.5-26.8 months (6,16,17,21). In the present study, the overall response rate was 85.7%, the median PFS time was 8 months and the MST was 23 months. Therefore, our data compare favorably with those of previously published trials in patients with locally advanced NSCLC.

The principal disadvantage of concurrent chemoradiotherapy is increased normal-tissue toxicity, particularly hematological, esophageal and pulmonary. In the present study, the most frequent toxicity was myelosuppression, particularly neutropenia. Grade 3-4 hematological toxicities included neutropenia, thrombocytopenia and anemia in 100, 14 and 46% of the patients, respectively. This high rate of myelosuppression may be associated with the use of carboplatin, which is strongly myelosuppressive, rather than cisplatin. However, the episodes of myelosuppression were manageable. Additionally, these toxicity rates compare favorably to those reported by previously published trials on patients with locally advanced NSCLC, in which the rates of grade 3-4 neutropenia, thrombocytopenia and anemia were 23-99%, 2-53% and 3-16%, respectively (6,16,17,21).

Severe esophagitis has been reported in many trials. The rate of grade 3-4 esophagitis in recent trials has ranged from 3-18% (6,16,17,21). In the present study, only 1 patient (4%) developed grade 3 radiation esophagitis and no patients developed grade 4 esophagitis. In addition, severe radiation pneumonitis has been reported in several trials. The rates of grade 3-4 radiation pneumonitis in previous trials have been 1-10% (6,16,17,21). In our study, although grade 3 radiation pneumonitis developed in 7% of patients, corticosteroid therapy led to satisfactory resolution of symptoms and radiographic abnormalities of the patients. In the future, with the routine employment of modern radiotherapy technologies, such as 4-dimensional CT and respiration-gated radiotherapy, the rates of radiation-induced esophagitis and pneumonitis are expected to decrease, as improved imaging and radiotherapy delivery techniques may enable significant reductions in toxicity (22).

An important limitation in our study was the compromised accuracy of mediastinal lymph node staging, since mediastinoscopy and FDG PET were not mandatory in the staging work-up. In the present study, metastatic lymph nodes were defined as mediastinal lymph nodes >10 mm along the short axis on CT. However, for the diagnosis of metastatic mediastinal lymph nodes, CT (sensitivity, 50-71%; specificity, 66-89%) is inferior to FDG PET (sensitivity, 67-91%; specificity, 82-96%) and mediastinoscopy (sensitivity, 80%; specificity, 100%) (23-25).

In conclusion, concurrent chemoradiotherapy with a divided schedule of carboplatin and vinorelbine is well-tolerated and effective in patients with locally advanced NSCLC. Therefore, this treatment is an acceptable option for patients with locally advanced NSCLC, particularly for patients who are not eligible for cisplatin-based chemoradiotherapy. Investigations are required for the design of more active regimens, including molecular-targeted therapies and modern radiotherapy technologies.
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