Diagnostic Ability of Diffusion-weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging to Discriminate Ampullary Neoplasms: A Preliminary Study of 15 Cases
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Abstract: We assessed the diagnostic capability of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (DWI) to predict the histological diagnosis of ampullary lesions to resolve the diagnostic uncertainty of endoscopic biopsy for ampullary neoplasms. From January 2009 to August 2011, we performed DWI using b values of 0 and 1000 s/mm² for 15 patients with a histological diagnosis of ampullary lesions (adenocarcinoma, n = 8; adenoma, n = 4; hyperplasia, n = 3). We compared the signal intensities (determined by comparing signal intensities of ampullary lesions and rating them as markedly hyperintense, hyperintense, or hypo-to-isointense relative to the duodenal wall) and the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC, ×10⁻³ mm²/s) values of the ampullary lesions on DWI among the three groups based on the histological diagnosis. Values are expressed as median (range). The cancer-group lesions showed a significantly higher signal intensity than either adenoma or hyperplasia (markedly hyperintense/hyperintense/hypo-to-isointense; adenocarcinoma, 7/1/0; adenoma, 0/4/0; hyperplasia, 0/0/3; P<0.005). The ADC values were significantly lower in adenocarcinoma at 1.46 (0.83–1.63) than in either adenoma at 2.14 (1.92–2.37) or hyperplasia at 2.06 (1.88–2.53) (P<0.005). In addition, the ADC values in the malignant group (adenocarcinoma) were significantly lower than those in the benign groups (adenoma and hyperplasia) (P<0.001). The findings suggested that DWI could contribute significantly to accurate preprocedural diagnosis of ampullary lesions.
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Introduction

Ampullary neoplasms occur rarely and have a complex anatomy, making it difficult to accomplish accurate preprocedural diagnosis1-4. The primary cause of this difficulty is the diagnostic uncertainty of endoscopic biopsy for ampullary lesions; i.e., false-negative findings for cancer are frequent in the ampulla of Vater1-4. Consequently, inappropriate treatment...
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procedures are sometimes performed including pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) for localized adenoma and ampullectomy for hyperplasia or invasive adenocarcinoma\(^1\)-\(^4\). Therefore, a more reliable method of endoscopic biopsy and/or better supplemental diagnostic tools are needed to achieve more accurate preprocedural diagnoses of ampullary lesions.

Recently, diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (DWI) was reported to be useful for differentiating the histology of some neoplasms other than ampullary neoplasms, and has since gained wider acceptance\(^5\)-\(^8\). In this monograph, we show preliminary results of the investigation into whether DWI could contribute to accurate preprocedural diagnosis of ampullary lesions.

**Patients and methods**

This retrospective study was approved by the institutional review board and was conducted in accordance with the Health Insurance Portability Accountability Act. The institutional review board waived the requirement for patient informed consent.

From January 2009 to August 2011, we obtained the histological diagnosis of ampullary lesions in 17 patients. Of these, 15 patients (adenocarcinoma in 8 patients, adenoma in 4, and hyperplasia in 3) underwent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), while the remaining two patients did not consent to MRI due to financial reasons. We thus included the 15 patients who underwent MRI in this study and reviewed their clinical records to assess the following variables: patient demographics, clinical course, diagnostic imaging findings, methods for determining final histological diagnosis, and final histological diagnosis.

Our basic management strategy for investigating the ampullary lesion was as follows. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) and endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) was routinely performed for all patients with ampullary lesions to evaluate local extension of the lesion along the pancreatic and/or bile ducts unless the patient refused these examinations. Endoscopic biopsy was almost always performed simultaneously with ERCP. Abdominal computed tomography, MRI, and/or conventional ultrasonography were also always performed before conducting either ERCP or endoscopic biopsy to avoid mistaking postprocedural changes for intrinsic findings. When biopsy results proved the presence of carcinoma or when they were indicative of adenoma, but the lesion was not considered removable endoscopically because of considerable extension along the pancreatic and/or bile ducts, the patient was referred for surgery. If the biopsy results were indicative of adenoma and the lesion was considered removable endoscopically, endoscopic papillectomy (EP) was performed according to the method described elsewhere\(^9\). When the papillectomy margin was positive for tumor and the residual lesion was considered removable endoscopically, repeat endoscopic resection was applied. When the papillectomy margin was positive for tumor and repeat endoscopic resection was considered infeasible, or the papillectomy specimen contained a malignant component, the patient was referred for surgery. If the biopsy results showed hyperplasia, endoscopic biopsy was performed every 3 months until 12 months after the initial diagnosis, and biannually thereafter.

MRI was performed using a 1.5-T MRI unit (SignaHDxt; GE Healthcare Japan, 4-7-127
Asahigaoka, Hino-shi, Tokyo, Japan). T1-weighted images, T2-weighted images, and magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography were acquired first. Thereafter, DWI using b values of 0 and 1000 s/mm² was performed. The MRI images were evaluated with a picture archiving and communication system (PACS) (Impax 4.0; Agfa, Mortsel, Belgium) by two experienced physicians (N. T., radiologist with 14 years of experience; M. N., gastroenterologist with 21 years of experience). Images were evaluated first qualitatively and then quantitatively. The DWI image was displayed to the two reviewers without any other information concerning the patient. For qualitative analysis, the signal intensity (SI) of the ampullary lesion on the DWI with a b value of 1000 s/mm² was determined by comparing SI between the lesion and the adjacent duodenal wall, and was classified as markedly hyperintense relative to the adjacent duodenal wall markedly hyperintense (MHI), hyperintense (HI), or hypo-to-isointense (HII)⁶. For quantitative analysis, the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) value was calculated by manually placing a region of interest (ROI) over the ampullary lesion. The ROI was drawn freehand along the outline of the lesion identified in the DWI images used for qualitative analysis and was set as large as possible (Fig. 1). Care was taken to avoid the inclusion of any area outside the tumor in the ROI. SI and ADC values were determined on a single slice of DWI images containing the largest available tumor area in each patient. After independently evaluating the images alone, the two reviewers subsequently discussed the findings to reach a final consensus.

To assess the usefulness of DWI for differentiating histological diagnoses of ampullary lesions, we compared the SI and ADC values of the lesion among the following three groups based on the final histological diagnosis: adenocarcinoma group (ACG), adenoma group (AG), and hyperplasia group (HG).

The statistical analyses were performed by a nonparametric method as follows. Fisher’s exact probability test was used for categorical variables, and the Mann-Whitney U-test was used to analyze numerical variables. Results are shown as percentages and/or rates of patients, or as median (range). Commercial statistical software (SPSS 13.0 for Windows; SPSS, Chicago, IL) was used, and two-tailed P values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Clinical course and determination of the final diagnosis

Table 1 details all patient characteristics. In the ACG, one patient (Case 2) rejected the offer of either surgery or chemotherapy and was therefore treated with endoscopic stenting alone. In Case 3, the initial diagnosis was adenoma diagnosed by biopsy specimen. However, the EP specimen showed that the tumor was mainly composed of cancer. Therefore, the patient was referred for surgery and underwent PD. In Cases 4 and 6 (Fig. 1), distant metastases were present when diagnosing the ampullary lesion histologically, and because these 2 patients did not wish to receive chemotherapy, they were managed with endoscopic stenting alone. In Case 8 (Fig. 2), although the endoscopic biopsy specimen showed adenoma, the EUS findings showed extension of the tumor across the duodenal wall, suggesting a cancerous lesion. Therefore, the patient did not undergo EP and was referred for surgery to undergo PD after obtaining
informed consent. The PD specimen revealed that the tumor was mainly composed of adenoma, with some areas of adenocarcinoma.

In the AG, two patients underwent PD. In Case 9, extension of the lesion along the bile

Table 1. Details of the 15 patients included in the present study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Cholangitis of BD/PD</th>
<th>Dilatation of jaundice</th>
<th>Tumor size (mm)</th>
<th>Signal intensity on the DWI</th>
<th>ADC value of DWI</th>
<th>Final histological diagnosis</th>
<th>Method or specimen for final diagnosis</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>no yes/yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>MHI&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Endoscopic biopsy</td>
<td>PD&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>no yes/yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>MHI&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Endoscopic biopsy</td>
<td>ES&lt;sup&gt;1, 2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>no no/no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>MHI&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Specimen of PD&lt;sup&gt;1, 2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>PD&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>yes yes/yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>MHI&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Endoscopic biopsy</td>
<td>ES&lt;sup&gt;1, 2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>no no/yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>MHI&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Endoscopic biopsy</td>
<td>PD&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>no yes/no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>MHI&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Endoscopic biopsy</td>
<td>ES&lt;sup&gt;1, 2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>no yes/no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>MHI&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Endoscopic biopsy</td>
<td>PD&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>no yes/yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>HI&lt;sup&gt;2, 3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>cancer in adenoma</td>
<td>Specimen of PD&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>PD&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>yes yes/yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>HI&lt;sup&gt;2, 3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>adenoma</td>
<td>Specimen of PD&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>PD&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>no no/no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>HI&lt;sup&gt;2, 3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>adenoma</td>
<td>Specimen of PD&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>PD&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>no no/no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>HI&lt;sup&gt;2, 3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>adenoma</td>
<td>Repeated biopsies Intensive follow up</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>no yes/no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>HI&lt;sup&gt;2, 3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>adenoma</td>
<td>Repeated biopsies Intensive follow up</td>
<td>ES&lt;sup&gt;1, 2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>no no/no</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>HI&lt;sup&gt;1, 4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>hyperplasia</td>
<td>Repeated biopsies</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>no yes/yes</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>HI&lt;sup&gt;1, 4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>hyperplasia</td>
<td>Repeated biopsies</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>no no/no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>HI&lt;sup&gt;1, 4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>hyperplasia</td>
<td>Repeated biopsies</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*<sup>1</sup>, bile duct; <sup>1</sup>, pancreatic duct; <sup>1</sup>, diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging; <sup>1</sup>, apparent diffusion coefficient; <sup>1</sup>, markedly hyperintense to the adjacent duodenal wall; <sup>1</sup>, pancreaticoduodenectomy; <sup>1</sup>, endoscopic stenting; <sup>1</sup>, endoscopic papillectomy; <sup>1</sup>, hyperintense to the adjacent duodenal wall; <sup>1</sup>, hypo-to-isointense to the adjacent duodenal wall.

Fig. 1. Endoscopy and diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging of Case 6 (adenocarcinoma). The endoscopy showed an obviously elevated lesion with an erosive depression in the ampulla of Vater (left). Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging revealed that the tumor, which was markedly hyperintense relative to the adjacent duodenal wall (white arrow), was easily identified (center). The apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) value was calculated by manually placing a region of interest (ROI) over the ampullary lesion. The ROI was drawn by freehand along the outline of the lesion identified in the DWI images used for qualitative analysis and was set as large as possible (right).
duct was considered too long to be removed completely by either EP or transduodenal surgical ampulectomy, and PD was undertaken. In Case 10 (Fig. 3), the patient firstly underwent EP; however, although the ampulectomy specimen showed no malignant component, the specimen margin was positive for tumor at the bile duct direction and the residual lesion was undetectable. The patient elected to have the tumor completely removed and therefore underwent PD. The resected PD specimens for these two AG patients (Cases 9 and 10) revealed only adenoma in the tumor. Cases 11 and 12 rejected the recommendation of either EP or surgery despite the biopsy specimen evidently showing adenoma. Therefore, these 2 patients received intensive follow up consisting of bimonthly or trimonthly endoscopic examination and biannual abdominal CT and/or MRI study. No changes in the size, morphological features, or biopsy results were observed until 28 months in Case 11 and 30 months in Case 12 after the initial diagnosis. According to their clinical courses, we consider it logical that the final diagnosis for these two patients was regarded as adenoma.

In the HG, repeated biopsy specimens consistently revealed hyperplasia and no morphological changes were observed in Case 13 (Fig. 4), Case 14, and Case 15. Therefore, we concluded that the final diagnosis for these three cases was hyperplasia.

Comparison of variables among the three groups

There were no significant differences in age, gender, symptoms, occurrence of cholangitis and/or pancreatitis, and size of the lesion among the three patient groups. Size of the lesion was principally measured by EUS, or by other modalities in patients who refused to receive EUS.

The distribution in classification of the SI according to the DWI findings was significantly different among the three groups as follows. The MHI / HI / HII ratio was 7 / 1 / 0 in ACG, 0 / 4 / 0

![Fig. 2. Endoscopy and diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging of Case 8 (cancer in adenoma). The endoscopy showed an elevated lesion with granular surface in the ampulla of Vater (left). Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging revealed the tumor, which was markedly hyperintense relative to the adjacent duodenal wall (white arrow).]
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in AG, and 0/0/3 in HG, thus ACG lesions showed significantly higher SI than AG or HG. Representative cases are shown in Fig. 1–4. Meanwhile, the ADC value of ampullary lesions was significantly lower in ACG \{1.46 (0.83–1.63)\} than in either AG \{2.12 (1.92–2.37)\} or HG \{2.06 (1.88–2.53)\}, and the malignant (ACG) group showed significantly lower ADC values than the benign (AG and HG) groups (Fig. 5). ADC values of more than 1.88 × 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s} were seen only in the benign group, whereas ADC values of less than 1.63 × 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s} were seen only in the malignant group. In this respect, ADC values of less than 1.63 × 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s} showed 100% sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of a malignant lesion.

**Discussion**

We recognize that the present study had several drawbacks and thus the findings must be considered preliminary. First of all, the sample size was very small. Secondly, the retrospective nature of the study impeded the integrity of any conclusions that could be drawn. In spite of these problems, however, the fact that the SI and ADC values on DWI enabled a clear distinction between cancerous and benign lesions in the present study suggests that DWI is a very promising modality for the discrimination of ampullary lesions.

The precise reason why DWI is able to show malignancies as hyperintense is not yet known. However, the high cellularity of malignant tumors is assumed to be the main reason, with water molecules in the extracellular fluid of cancer tissue possibly more restricted in Brownian motion than water molecules in the surrounding normal tissue\(^6\). The mechanism of visualizing body malignancies on DWI is therefore assumed to be basically the same as that of visualizing a fresh brain infarction.
In terms of the rare occurrence of ampullary lesions, a multicenter prospective study is considered practical for gaining the large sample size necessary to achieve more robust statistical significance and to yield the ADC cut-off values for predicting the malignancy of an ampullary tumor. However, some issues remain to be resolved before a multicenter study can be conducted. Significant variability in absolute ADC values seems inevitable depending on the
coil systems, imagers, vendors, and field strength\textsuperscript{10-12}, and ADC values may also vary according to the b values used\textsuperscript{10-13}. Furthermore, interobserver variability in ROI size and positioning in a single slice method is reportedly significant\textsuperscript{14}. To eradicate these issues and conduct a multicenter study, inter-institutional validation using a temperature-controlled fluid for quality control\textsuperscript{12}, calculation of ADC value using intravoxel incoherent motion theory using 3 or more b values\textsuperscript{13, 15}, and application of whole-volume ROIs\textsuperscript{14} are currently under consideration in our community.

We recognize that our current management algorithm for ampullary lesions, which includes EP, should be considered challenging at this stage because the occurrence rate of potentially life-threatening complications in patients receiving EP is reported to be markedly higher than in those who underwent endoscopic resection of gastric or colonic lesion, and the efficacy of EP remains unjustifiable\textsuperscript{1-4}. Furthermore, it is uncertain which of the following should be applied for a salvage procedure when the ampullectomy margin is positive for tumor, i.e., repeat endoscopic resection, transduodenal resection, or PD\textsuperscript{1-4}. Thus it is difficult to state that EP is an established treatment procedure. In addition, it has been reported that preoperative biliary drainage causes significant fibroproliferative inflammatory changes in bile ducts, making dissection difficult on performing PD\textsuperscript{16} and increasing the complication rate after PD\textsuperscript{16-18}. EP is obviously a more complicated procedure and thus considered to adversely affect the adjacent tissues more than biliary stenting alone, suggesting that PD following ampullectomy could be more technically difficult than PD without prior ampullectomy. Conversely, it is obviously excessive if PD is applied for localized adenoma with low-grade dysplasia\textsuperscript{1-4}. In other words, an inappropriate choice of treatment procedure would have a markedly larger impact on the clinical course in patients with ampullary lesions than in patients with early gastric or colonic cancer, both of which are often treated endoscopically\textsuperscript{1-4, 16-18}; thus, accurate preprocedural diagnosis is essential for avoiding such an inappropriate choice. However, the reported false-negative rate of endoscopic biopsy for cancer in ampullary lesions is high at 12\textendash60\%\textsuperscript{4, 19-21}. In fact, two (25\%) of the 8 patients with ampullary cancer in the present study showed a false-negative finding on endoscopic biopsy for cancer. Some authors reported that deeper biopsy after sphincterotomy was useful for ensuring an accurate diagnosis in their retrospective studies\textsuperscript{22-24}. However, a prospective study concerning this subject showed that sensitivity was 21\% before and 37\% after sphincterotomy, and concluded that endoscopic biopsies do not allow for reliable preoperative diagnosis of ampullary lesions\textsuperscript{25}. Therefore, it is considered necessary to establish a more reliable method for endoscopic biopsy and/or an alternative diagnostic tool that could help to definitively discriminate ampullary neoplasms. We consider that DWI is a promising candidate as such a diagnostic modality to reliably discriminate ampullary lesions based on the present results.

We now plan to conduct a multicenter prospective study to gain more robust statistical power and to yield adequate cut-off ADC values for predicting the malignancy of ampullary lesions.

In conclusion, DWI showed significantly higher SI and significantly lower ADC values in patients with ampullary cancer than in patients with either adenoma or hyperplasia. Therefore, we believe that DWI could contribute significantly to the accurate preprocedural diagnosis of
ampullary neoplasms.
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